Date:

Contractor Performance Report Standard Evaluation

| Prepare | r: | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Contract D | 10404 | | | | | | | |
| Contract D | | 10 1 / 7 | • | | | | | |
| Evaluation Type: Interim _ Final _ (check one) | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluating Organization: Reporting Period: From to | | | | | | | | |
| Contracting Office: NCO 15 Contract | | | | | | | Order Number: | |
| Contractor N | ame: | | Contrac | Contractor Address: | | | | |
| DUNS: | | | | City: | City: | | State: | |
| Additional or Alternate Contractor Name: n/a | | | | Zip/Postal Code: | | | Country: | |
| TIN: | Industrial Code (NAICS): | | | Commodit | Commodity Code: | | Contract Type: BOA | |
| Contract Award Date: Contract Expira | | | Expiration | | | Contr \$0.00 | ontract Value: 0.00 | |
| Requirement Description: Furnish | | | | | | | | |
| Ratings Summarize contractor performance and check the number which corresponds to the rating for each rating category (See attached Rating Guidelines). Quality of Product or Service | | | | | | | | |
| _0=Unsatisfactory _1=Poor _2=Fair | | | air | 3=Good | _4=Excelle | ent | _5=Outstanding | |
| | nment Comment Control (<i>Rating at</i> <i>Price</i>) | · · | • | | | ed if co | ntract type is | |
| _0=Unsatisfa | | | | 3=Good | _4=Excelle | ent | _5=Outstanding | |
| | nment Comment | | Control: | | | | | |
| _0=Unsatisfac | ctory _1=Poor | _2=F | air | 3=Good | _4=Excelle | ent | _5=Outstanding | |
| Govern | nment Comment | s for Time | liness of Pe | erformance | : | | | |

Business Relations

_0=Unsatisfactory _1=Poor _2=Fair _3=Good _4=Excellent _5=Outstanding

Government Comments for Business Relations:

| Additional Info |
|--|
| Subcontracts Are subcontracts involved?YesNo (Check one) Government Comment on subcontracts: |
| Contractor Key Personnel Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator (name): Government Comment on Contractor Manager/Principal Investigator: |
| Contractor Key Person (name): Government Comment on Contractor Key Person: |
| Contractor Key Person (name): Government Comment on Contractor Key Person: |
| Small Business Subcontracting Plan Did the contractor make a good faith effort to comply with its subcontracting plan consistent with the goals and objectives, reporting and other aspects of the plan? _Yes _No _N/A (Check one) |
| If this is a bundled contract, did the contractor meet the goals and objectives for small business participation? _Yes _No _N/A (Check one) |
| Government Comments on Small Business Subcontracting Plan: |
| Small Disadvantaged Business Goals |
| Did the contractor make a good faith effort to comply with its subcontracting plan consistent with the goals and objectives, for small disadvantaged business (SDB) participation, monetary targets for SDB participation, and required notifications? _Yes _No _N/A (Check one) |
| Government Comments on Small Disadvantaged Business Goals: |
| Customer Satisfaction |

Is/was the contractor committed to customer satisfaction? _Yes _No (Check one)

Would you recommend the selection of this firm again? Yes No (Check one) – FINAL REPORT ONLY

Government Comments on Customer Satisfaction:

Rating Guidelines

Quality of Product or Service

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Non-conformances are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in

consideration for future awards containing similar requirements.

Poor Overall compliance requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Overall compliance requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, quality problems, and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no quality issues, and the Contractor has substantially exceeded the contract performance requirements

without commensurate additional costs to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that was significantly in excess of anticipated achievements and is commendable as an example for others, so that it justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds

the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Cost Control

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Ability to manage cost issues is jeopardizing performance of contract requirements, despite use of Agency

resources. Recovery is not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, this level of ability to manage

cost issues constitutes a significant impediment in consideration for future awards.

Poor Ability to manage cost issues requires significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract

requirements.

Fair Ability to control cost issues requires minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or very minimal, cost management issues and the Contractor has met the contract requirements.

Excellent There are no cost management issues and the Contractor has exceeded the contract requirements, achieving cost

savings to the Government.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where the contractor achieved cost savings and

performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Timeliness of Performance

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Delays are jeopardizing the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources. Recovery is

not likely. If performance cannot be substantially corrected, it constitutes a significant impediment in

consideration for future awards.

Poor Delays require significant Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Fair Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Good There are no, or minimal, delays that impact achievement of contract requirements.

Excellent There are no delays and the contractor has exceeded the agreed upon time schedule.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds

the performance levels described as "Excellent".

Business Relations

0 = Unsatisfactory 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Excellent 5 = Outstanding

Unsatisfactory Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is not effective. If not substantially mitigated or corrected it should constitute a significant impediment in considerations for future awards.

Poor Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is marginally effective.

Fair Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is somewhat effective.

Good Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues is consistently effective.

Excellent Response to inquiries and/or technical, service, administrative issues exceeds Government expectation.

Outstanding The contractor has demonstrated an outstanding performance level that justifies adding a point to the score. It is

expected that this rating will be used in those rare circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds

the performance levels described as "Excellent".